

Table of Contents

FOREWORD BY IRINA BOKOVA, DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF UNESCO	11
I. INTRODUCTION	13
II. UNESCO: FOSTERING FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND MEDIA DEVELOPMENT	17
III. COUNTERING ONLINE HATE SPEECH	25
1. INTRODUCTION	26
1.1 A Broad conceptualisation	26
1.2 Legal and social responses	30
2. METHODOLOGY	31
3. FRAMEWORKS	32
3.1 Frameworks of international law	32
3.2 Framework for private actors	37
4. ANALYSING SOCIAL RESPONSES	42
4.1 Monitoring and analysing hate speech	42
4.2 Mobilising civil society	44
4.3 Lobbying private-sector companies	46
4.4 Countering online hate speech through media and information literacy (MIL)	50
4.5 News media content moderation	55
5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	56
5.1 Definition and understanding	56
5.2 Jurisdiction	57
5.3 Comprehension	58
5.4 Summation	60
IV. PROTECTING JOURNALISM SOURCES IN THE DIGITAL AGE ...	61
1. INTRODUCTION	62
2. METHODOLOGY	65
2.1 Structuring the research	65

2.2	Environmental scan	65
2.3	Analysis of country data	66
2.4	Surveys	66
2.5	Qualitative interviews	66
2.6	Panel discussions	67
2.7	Thematic study	67
3.	KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	68
4.	IDENTIFICATION OF KEY THEMES	69
5.	INTERNATIONAL REGULATORY AND NORMATIVE ENVIRONMENTS	70
5.1	United Nations actors	70
5.1.1	<i>Resolutions</i>	70
5.1.2	<i>Reports, recommendations, statements and comments</i>	72
6.	REGIONAL INSTRUMENTS OF HUMAN RIGHTS LAWS AND NORMATIVE FRAMEWORKS	77
6.1	European institutions	77
6.1.1	<i>Council of Europe resolutions, declarations, statements, comments, recommendations, reports and guidelines</i>	77
6.1.2	<i>Council of the European Union resolutions, declarations, reports and guidelines</i>	82
6.2	The Americas	82
6.3	Africa	83
6.4	Inter-regional institutions	83
6.4.1	<i>Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe</i>	83
6.4.2	<i>The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</i>	84
7.	OVERVIEWS BY UNESCO REGION	85
7.1	Africa	86
7.2	Arab region	86
7.3	Asia and the Pacific	87
7.4	Europe and North America	87
7.5	Latin America and the Caribbean	87
8.	THEMATIC STUDY: TOWARDS AN INTERNATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING SOURCE PROTECTION DISPENSATIONS	88
9.	GENDER DIMENSIONS	90
10.	CONCLUSION	92
V.	FOSTERING FREEDOM ONLINE: THE ROLE OF INTERNET INTERMEDIARIES.....	93
1.	INTRODUCTION	94
1.1	Business and human rights	94
1.2	Intermediaries	95
1.2.1	<i>Types of intermediaries</i>	96
1.2.2	<i>Modes of restriction</i>	98
1.2.3	<i>Commitments to freedom of expression</i>	101
1.3	Methodology	102
2.	LAW AND REGULATION	103
2.1	State commitments and limitations on expression	104
2.2	Intermediary liability	105
2.2.1	<i>Models of intermediary liability</i>	105
2.2.2	<i>Special note: Intermediary liability in Africa</i>	106
2.3	Self-regulation and co-regulation	107
2.4	Introducing the case studies	108

3. STUDY 1: ISPS – VODAFONE, VIVO/TELEFÔNICA BRASIL, BHARTI AIRTEL, AND SAFARICOM	109
3.1 Introduction	109
3.1.1 <i>The Companies</i>	110
3.2 Direct restrictions on freedom of expression	110
3.2.1 <i>Network-level filtering</i>	111
3.2.2 <i>Service shutdowns and restriction</i>	112
3.2.3 <i>Network neutrality</i>	113
3.3 Privacy	113
3.4 Transparency	114
3.5 Remedy	115
3.6 Conclusions	115
4. STUDY 2: SEARCH ENGINES – GOOGLE, BAIDU, AND YANDEX	117
4.1 Introduction	117
4.2 Impact of network filtering on search engines	118
4.3 Measures taken by search engines	119
4.3.1 <i>Personalisation</i>	119
4.3.2 <i>Europe and the 'right to be forgotten'</i>	119
4.4 Data retention, collection and surveillance	121
4.5 Transparency	122
4.6 Remedy	122
4.7 Conclusions	123
5. STUDY 3: SOCIAL NETWORKING PLATFORMS – FACEBOOK, TWITTER, WEIBO, AND IWIW.HU	125
5.1 Introduction	125
5.2 Impact of ISP filtering on social networking platforms	127
5.3 Content removal and account deactivation	127
5.4 Privacy	128
5.5 Transparency	129
5.5.1 <i>Transparency about government and lawful requests</i>	129
5.5.2 <i>Transparency about self-regulation</i>	129
5.5.3 <i>User notification</i>	130
5.6 Remedy	130
5.7 Conclusions	131
6. GENDER	133
6.1 Access to the internet	133
6.2 Gender and content restriction	133
6.3 Gender-based harassment	134
6.3.1 <i>Regulation</i>	134
6.3.2 <i>Policies and practices of intermediaries</i>	134
6.4 Conclusion	135
7. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	136
7.1 State duty to protect	136
7.2 Responsibility of business to respect	137
7.3 Access to remedy	138
7.4 Issues of concern	138
7.5 Intermediaries and internet governance	139
8. RECOMMENDATIONS	140
8.1 Adequate legal frameworks and policies	140
8.2 Multistakeholder policy development	141
8.3 Transparency	141

8.4 Privacy	142
8.5 Human rights impact assessment	142
8.6 Self-regulation must follow principles of due process and accountability, and be consistent with human rights norms	142
8.7 Remedy	143
8.8 Public education and information, and Media and Information Literacy	144
8.9 Global accountability mechanisms	144
9. CONCLUSION	146
VI. SAFETY OF JOURNALISTS	147
1. OVERVIEW	148
2. PHYSICAL SAFETY	149
3. IMPUNITY	153
4. UPWARD TREND IN STRENGTHENING OF INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS ON SAFETY OF JOURNALISTS	156
5. DEVELOPMENT OF PRACTICAL MECHANISMS TO PROMOTE SAFETY AND END IMPUNITY	159
6. IMPROVED INTER-AGENCY COLLABORATIONS	160
7. TOWARDS STRONGER INVOLVEMENT OF THE JUDICIAL SECTOR IN TACKLING IMPUNITY	161
8. STRENGTHENING COLLABORATION WITH NATIONAL SECURITY FORCES	162
9. PROMOTING A RESEARCH AGENDA ON THE SAFETY OF JOURNALISTS	163
10. IMPRISONMENT OF JOURNALISTS	164
11. GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN SAFETY OF JOURNALISTS	165
12. CONCLUSION	166
VII. APPENDICES	167
APPENDIX 1 – INDIVIDUALS INTERVIEWED FOR COUNTERING ONLINE HATE SPEECH	168
APPENDIX 2 – INDIVIDUALS INTERVIEWED FOR PROTECTING JOURNALISM SOURCES IN THE DIGITAL AGE	169
APPENDIX 3: UNESCO MEMBER STATES STUDIED IN PROTECTING JOURNALISM SOURCES IN THE DIGITAL AGE	172
APPENDIX 4: PROTECTING JOURNALISM SOURCES IN THE DIGITAL AGE SURVEY QUESTIONS	173
APPENDIX 5 – PROTECTING JOURNALISM SOURCES IN THE DIGITAL AGE QUALITATIVE INTERVIEW QUESTIONS	175
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	178